

Congo, Dem. Rep. Learning Poverty Brief

October 2019

AN EARLY-WARNING INDICATOR FOR THE HUMAN **CAPITAL PROJECT**

The Human Capital Project seeks to raise awareness and increase demand for interventions to build human capital. It aims to accelerate better and more investments in people.

In low- and middle-income countries, the learning crisis means that deficits in education outcomes are a major contributor to human capital deficits. Shortcomings in both the quantity of schooling and especially its quality explain a large part of the distance to the frontier. Addressing these shortcomings will require a multisectoral approach.

For more information on the Human Capital Project, please visit www.worldbank.org/humancapitalproject

WHY MEASURE LEARNING POVERTY?

All children should be able to read by age 10. As a major contributor to human capital deficits, the learning crisis undermines sustainable growth and poverty reduction. This brief summarizes some of the critical aspects of a new synthetic indicator, Learning Poverty, designed to help spotlight and galvanize action to address this crisis.

Eliminating Learning Poverty is as urgent as eliminating extreme monetary poverty, stunting, or hunger. The new data show that more than half of all children in low and middleincome countries suffer from Learning Poverty.

WHAT IS LEARNING POVERTY?

Learning Poverty means being unable to read and understand a short, age-appropriate text by age 10. All foundational skills are important, but we focus on reading because: (i) reading proficiency is an easily understood measure of learning; (ii) reading is a student's gateway to learning in every other area; and, (iii) reading proficiency can serve as a proxy for foundational learning in other subjects, in the same way that the absence of child stunting is a marker of healthy early childhood development.

HOW IS LEARNING POVERTY MEASURED?

This indicator brings together schooling and learning. It starts with the share of children who haven't achieved minimum reading proficiency and adjusts it by the proportion of children who are out of school.

$$LP = [BMP \times (1 - OoS)] + [1 \times OoS]$$

where, LP is Learning Poverty; BMP is share of children in school below minimum proficiency; OoS is the Percentage of Out-of-School children; and, in the case of OoS we assume BMP = 1.

The data used to calculate Learning Poverty has been made possible thanks to the work of the Global Alliance to Monitor Learning led by the UNESCO Institute for Statistics (UIS), which established Minimum Proficiency Levels (MPLs) that enable countries to benchmark learning across different cross-national and national assessments.

LEARNING POVERTY IN CONGO, DEM. REP.

- Learning Poverty. 86 percent of children in Congo. Dem. Rep. at late primary age today are not proficient in reading, adjusted for the Out-of-School children.
- Out-of-School. In Congo, Dem. Rep., 63 percent of primary school-aged children are not enrolled in school. These children are excluded from learning in school.
- Below Minimum Proficiency. Large-scale learning assessments of students in Congo, Dem. Rep. indicate that 62 percent do not achieve the MPL at the end of primary school, proxied by data from grade 5 in 2010.

For countries with a very low Out-of-School population, the share of children Below Minimum Proficiency will be very close to the reported Learning Poverty.

Notes: The LP number for Congo, Dem. Rep. is calculated using the Global Learning Assessment Database (GLAD) harmonization based on PASEC and the MPL threshold used was level 4. The LP numbers are too old to be included in Global and Regional aggregates. For more details, please consult the GLAD and Learning Poverty repositories in GitHub.

BENCHMARKING CONGO, DEM. REP.'S LEARNING **POVERTY**

Learning Poverty in Congo, Dem. Rep. is 0.7 percentage points better than the average for the Sub-Saharan Africa region and 3.9 percentage points better than the average for low income countries.

The latest available Learning Poverty data for Congo, Dem. Rep. is produced using assessment data from 2010. This data is considered too old to be included in the latest Global and Regional Aggregates and any benchmark should be interpreted as an illustration.

Figure 1. Learning Poverty and components

Learning Poverty (LP) COD SSA LIC 0% 25% 75% 50% 100% Learners Below Minimum Proficiency (BMP) COD SŞA LIC 25% 50% 75% 100% Out-of-School primary school-aged children (OoS) SŞA LIC 25% 75% 100%

Source: UIS and World Bank as of October 2019.

Notes: (1) Large circle represents Congo, Dem. Rep.; (2) Small circles represent other countries; and, (3) Vertical lines reflect the averages of Congo, Dem. Rep.'s region and income group.





Congo, Dem. Rep. Learning Poverty Brief

October 2019

HOW DOES CONGO, DEM. REP.'S GENDER GAP COM-PARE GLOBALLY?

In Congo, Dem. Rep., lack of data prevents comparisons of Learning Poverty for boys and girls.


Table 1 shows sex disaggregation for Learning Poverty and HCI education components whenever available.

Table 1. Sex Disaggregation

| Indicators and Components | Boys | Girls | All |
|--------------------------------------|------|-------|------|
| Learning Poverty | NA | NA | 86 |
| Below Minimum Proficiency | NA | NA | 62 |
| Out-of-School | 62.3 | 64.1 | 63.2 |
| Human Capital Index | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| Learning-adjusted Years of Schooling | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.7 |

Source: UIS and World Bank for LP, BMP and OoS as of October 2019; EdStats/WDI for HCI and LAYS; The Full Learning Poverty database is available for download at the Development Data Hub

Figure 2. Gender Gap - Learning Poverty by Sex



Source: UIS and World Bank as of October 2019. Notes: (1) No gender split in Learning Poverty is available for Congo, Dem. Rep.. Only countries with data displayed; and, (2) The closer a country is to the dotted line the smaller its LP gender gap.

POINT OF CONTACT

Congo, Dem. Rep.: Sherezad Latif and Waly Wane Sub-Saharan Africa: Ryoko Tomita, Tihtina Zenebe Gebre and Natasha De Andrade Falcao

PRIMARY EDUCATION EXPENDITURE

Primary education expenditure per child of primary education age in Congo, Dem. Rep. is USD 61 (PPP), which is 89.2% below the average for the Sub-Saharan Africa region and 65.6% below the average for low income countries.

Figure 3. Expenditure per child in primary school age

Primary Education Expenditure per Child in USD (PPP)



Source: UIS and World Bank as of October 2019. Note: Primary education expenditure per child is calculated as total expenditure on primary education divided by total number of children of primary school age. Data for Congo, Dem. Rep. is from 2013.

DATA AND DATA GAPS ON LEARNING AND SCHOOL-ING IN CONGO, DEM. REP.

Congo, Dem. Rep. administer a National Large-Scale Assessment (NLSA) at the End of Primary school, according to UIS SDG 4.1.2b monitoring. Once this NLSA is mapped against UIS/SDG4.1.1 reporting standards it should be possible to monitor Learning Poverty with it.

Congo, Dem. Rep. participated in the following published cross-national learning assessments in recent years: PASEC (2010).

According to the World Bank's 2014 LeAP diagnostic analysis of Congo, Dem. Rep.'s assessment system, the country's ratings on large-scale assessment activities were Emerging (2 out of 4) on Cross-National Learning Assessment and Emerging (2 out of 4) on NLSA. To update results, contact the LeAP team.

The Out-of-School adjustment in our Learning Poverty indicator relies on enrollment data. Our preferred definition is the adjusted net primary enrollment as reported by UIS. This data relies both on the population Census and the EMIS. In the case of Congo, Dem. Rep., the preferred definition based on the EMIS data is for 1999.

Notes: The definition of NLSA does not include National Exams; LeAP: Learning Assessment Platform (LeAP-team@worldbank.org). PASEC: Programme d'Analyse des Systems Educatifs de la Confemen.



#investinPeople

Disclaimer: The numbers presented in this brief are based on global data harmonization efforts conducted by UIS and the World Bank that increase cross-country comparability of selected findings from official statistics. For that reason, the numbers discussed here may be different from official statistics reported by governments and national offices of statistics. Such differences are due to the different purposes of the statistics, which can be for global comparison or to meet national definitions.