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Background

- Both countries participants in the European Comparison Program in the 1990s
- Both countries included in ICP 2005 as participants in the CIS comparison
- Both countries included in ICP 2011 (see next slide)
- Both countries wished to be included in ICP 2017
ICP 2011 Approach

- Ukraine was included in the global comparison as a participant in the CIS comparison
- Georgia was a singleton country linked first to the CIS comparison through a bilateral comparison with Armenia and then to the global comparison through the CIS comparison
ICP 2017 Approach

- Eurostat project to include the two countries in the global comparison as guest participants in the Eurostat-OECD comparison for 2017
- Georgia and Ukraine required to provide all the data needed to compare them with other participants in the comparison
- Project designed to assist the two countries meet this requirement in line with Eurostat-OECD methodology and the resources available to them
- Duration of the project three years – 2017 to 2019; implementing agency – ICON-INSTITUT
Impact and Implications

- Little or no impact
- Their inclusion in the Eurostat-OECD comparison will respect fixity
- As singleton countries their average prices for core items will not included in the calculation of inter-regional linking factors
TAG Asks

- How will Georgia and Ukraine be included in ICP 2020?
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