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### Interviews Completed by Date and Round

*Source: Listening to Tajikistan*

- **Cumulative number of interviews**: 21550 [L2TJK]
- **Number of households in Survey**: 800 [L2TJK]
- **Number of interviews on time**: 21285 [Meta]
- **Number of interviews not on time**: 265 [Meta]
- **Ratio of unique respondents in Urban Areas**: 28% [L2TJK]
- **Ratio of unique respondents in Rural Areas**: 72% [L2TJK]
- **Households participated in baseline face-to-face round**: 3000 [L2TJK]

*Source: Listening to Tajikistan from 12-May-15 to 26-Mar-17*

### Respondents

#### Education Level of Respondents

*Source: Listening to Tajikistan*

#### Share of Households Replaced by Round

*Source: Listening to Tajikistan*

#### Reason for Late Responses

*Source: Listening to Tajikistan*

### Number of Interviews by Region

*Source: Listening to Tajikistan*

#### Number of Interviews Performed by Specific Enumerator

*Source: Listening to Tajikistan*

#### Number of Rounds Completed by Share of Total Interviews

*Source: Listening to Tajikistan*
### KEY INDICATORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Non-selected</th>
<th>Wave 1</th>
<th>Wave 2</th>
<th>Wave 3</th>
<th>Wave 4</th>
<th>Wave 5</th>
<th>Wave 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of dependent members</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency ratio</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share of HH’s with migrants</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welfare indicator</td>
<td>449.11</td>
<td>448.10</td>
<td>440.89</td>
<td>443.35</td>
<td>402.38</td>
<td>443.78</td>
<td>441.35</td>
<td>440.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of inadequacy (MPI dimension)</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted MPI</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional vulnerable</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multidimensional severe</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Listening to Tajikistan Survey

Listening to Tajikistan is a phone-based high-frequency panel survey of households designed to track the impact of the economic downturn in Russia on livelihoods in Tajikistan. The survey instrument includes questions on a variety of themes, including income, employment, migration, wellbeing, and services. The sample of 800 was drawn from a nationally representative face-to-face survey of 3000 households conducted in the spring of 2015, and fieldwork commenced in May 2015. Households were initially interviewed in 10-day intervals, moving to two-week intervals following the sixth wave of data collection. Responses reported in this note are weighted for representativeness and for non-response, and households that refused to participate (or otherwise drop out) were replaced with another household from the same primary population. The support of UK-DFID in the design phase of this instrument is gratefully acknowledged.

The survey was therefore weighted for the sampling design, but also reweighting is applied after each round based on the characteristics of the households that drop out. In practice, this is accomplished by predicting the likelihood of a given household dropping out based on the observed characteristics of the household, and then reweighting the households that did participate so that they will more closely resemble the initial sample. To check that this process is successful, we test the difference in means across each round. If attrition and replacement was not well accounted for by the reweighting, one would expect statistically significant differences from one round to the next, and in comparison to the population from the face-to-face 3000 household sample frame. The tables on this page show that in general, we do not find evidence of systematic changes in the composition of households parti-ticipating over time, the intention of the re-weighting exercise.

### Data Description

Listening to Tajikistan is a phone-based high-frequency panel survey of households designed to track the impact of the economic downturn in Russia on livelihoods in Tajikistan. The survey instrument includes questions on a variety of themes, including income, employment, migration, wellbeing, and services. The sample of 800 was drawn from a nationally representative face-to-face survey of 3000 households conducted in the spring of 2015, and fieldwork commenced in May 2015. Households were initially interviewed in 10-day intervals, moving to two-week intervals following the sixth wave of data collection. Responses reported in this note are weighted for representativeness and for non-response, and households that refused to participate (or otherwise drop out) were replaced with another household from the same primary population. The support of UK-DFID in the design phase of this instrument is gratefully acknowledged.

The survey was therefore weighted for the sampling design, but also reweighting is applied after each round based on the characteristics of the households that drop out. In practice, this is accomplished by predicting the likelihood of a given household dropping out based on the observed characteristics of the household, and then reweighting the households that did participate so that they will more closely resemble the initial sample. To check that this process is successful, we test the difference in means across each round. If attrition and replacement was not well accounted for by the reweighting, one would expect statistically significant differences from one round to the next, and in comparison to the population from the face-to-face 3000 household sample frame. The tables on this page show that in general, we do not find evidence of systematic changes in the composition of households participating over time, the intention of the re-weighting exercise.

### ADDITIONAL INFO

The support of UK-DFID in the design phase of this instrument is gratefully acknowledged.


Contact Joao Pedro Wagner De Azavedo (jazevedo@worldbank.org), William Hutchins Seitz (wseitz@worldbank.org), Aliasher Rajabov (arajabov@worldbank.org)
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