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Introduction

- Forthcoming paper on Transparency and Stakeholder Relations Management (TSRM) in public debt management (PDM):
  - **Transparency**, as an element of accountability within the PDM governance framework;
  - **Management of stakeholders relations**, or the need to create and maintain a dynamic dialogue.

- Co-authored with Rodrigo Cabral (WB) and Otavio Medeiros (Tesoro Brazil).

- From perspective of broad understanding of transparency and SRM (not only in terms of investor relations)
  - In depth interviews of countries with sound practice debt management, both advanced and EM.
  - Review of relevant literature e.g. 2013 OECD survey on Investor Relations practice in 26 OECD countries;
  - International Institute of Finance annual evaluation of EM practice in data dissemination and investor relations.
  - Various papers and guidelines on sound practice.
Concepts
Transparency is a key element of accountability in the governance framework of public debt management.
Transparency is also a key element for the financial markets, with reporting on key data to allow due diligence by investors and other stakeholders.
Objectives of transparency

- Internally, provide PDM senior staff and policy-makers with timely information for making decisions and for monitoring performance.

- Promote good governance and accountability by demonstrating:
  - legal/regulatory compliance, contractual compliance (e.g. WB debt reporting), and compliance in reporting according to international standards.

- Develop and maintain a deep/liquid domestic debt market by providing transparent rules that promote a level playing field

- Provide timely, reliable information to potential/current investors, enabling sound investment decisions in a competitive environment
  - avoid asymmetric information, and minimize uncertainty for investors, which leads to increased risk premia.

- Reduce possibility of misinformation (e.g. with rating agencies, which could potentially impact the score).

- IIF: build trust & long-term relationships with investors during calm markets.
Most important requirements for investors (IIF)

Out of 20 criteria used for IR practices and data transparency, eight have the greatest weight:

1. Existence of formal IR unit with dedicated staff, identifiable & reachable
2. Subscription to IMF’s Special Data Dissemination Standards (SDDS)
3. Effective transparency of market-related data
4. Availability of forward-looking policy information
5. Central bank and government website(s) available in English
6. Active investor contact list
7. Archives of investor presentations & conference call material available on website(s)
8. Investor feedback reflected in policy decisions (* per country)

Out of 23 assessment criteria for data dissemination practices, six have the highest weight, including:

1. Availability of time series data
2. Adoption of accrual accounting for central government finance statistics (GFSM)
3. Availability of central government amortization schedule disseminated at least every 3 months
4. External debt time series availability, and amortization schedule disseminated at least every 6 months
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INVESTOR RELATIONS PRACTICES CRITERIA</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>COUNTRY</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belize</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil (Brasil)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil (National Treasury)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croatia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecuador</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morocco</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peru</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian Federation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reflects a combined score of the Sarino office at the Banco Central de Brasil and the IIF office at the National Treasury.*
In sum, there are significant requirements for data dissemination from DMOs to ensure transparency for public sector governance and the financial markets.
### Some minimum transparency requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(A) Legal &amp; inside government</th>
<th>(B) Auditors</th>
<th>(C) Contractual reporting IFIs</th>
<th>(D) Domestic market</th>
<th>(E) International markets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Medium-term PDM strategy</td>
<td>• Information on different modules, depending on type of audit</td>
<td>• WB (debt reports)</td>
<td>• See IIF list, but also Annual &amp; quarterly auction calendar</td>
<td>• See IIF list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Annual borrowing plan</td>
<td>• Probably (A)</td>
<td>• IMF (e.g. SDDS)</td>
<td>• Requirement for primary dealers</td>
<td>• Prospectus with macroeconomic data, debt data etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Annual PDM report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Periodic auction results, etc.</td>
<td>• Regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Periodic statistical bulletins (debt; on-lending; guarantees on loans)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Rating agencies needs for data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition, the function of PDM Stakeholder Relations Management enables an active dialogue between stakeholders and DMO.

- Not only one-way information output from the government, but also established channels for dialogue with stakeholders, particularly useful in stressful contexts

- Recognition of two-way influence, based on mutual feedback, e.g.:
  - investor preferences influence debt management actions, instruments and borrowing plans, and likewise publishing government annual borrowing plans will enable better planning by investors
  - IIF:
    - authorities communicate better with investor base
    - address their concerns & questions
    - shape market-informed policies
    - investors are better informed about country’s current economic developments and prospects, as well as key economic policies and objectives.

- This principle also applies to other important stakeholders, in addition to investors (e.g. auditors, press, rating agencies, etc.)
How necessary is it to have a more active dialogue and go beyond the minimum transparency requirements?

- Data dissemination involves costs (e.g. staff time, hard-copy publications, etc.) but may be comparatively inexpensive, in comparison to a more active stakeholder relations management (e.g. roadshows).

- The costs of transparency and data dissemination may be easier to justify.

- However, the DMOs consider that the most useful practices involve encounters between staff and stakeholders, involving a productive dialogue and feedback (next section).

- Ultimately, the answer will also depend on the country’s situation: the more difficult it is, the more it will benefit from having built up a good communication with a number of stakeholders.
Some DMO practices & challenges
TSRM market activities are often created/ strengthened due to specific circumstances and/or strategies.

- Accountability/reporting responsibilities of DMO may be specified in the legal/regulatory framework upon creation of the DMO, but not always true.

- Financial markets transparency and stakeholders relations management are often strengthened due to changes in:
  - the economic context, domestic and international
  - the trend in funding needs
  - strategic decisions

- Various of the DMOs interviewed strengthened this function after 2008 (including high-income countries with high credit rating).

- Similar findings in survey of 26 OECD survey (both elements).
Most DMOs interviewed lacked a dedicated, specialized communications unit (e.g. IR unit) but had active communications

- Most distribute the functions among DMO staff that already have other responsibilities: front and/or middle office staff, plus senior staff.
  - Within this general framework, a few hired an expert in media/investor relations; some hired external advisors to create or strengthen the function
  - The advanced countries tended not to have formal IR units/programs.

- Even with a specialized IR unit, many communication functions are shared, with senior staff & policy-makers playing a key role.

- Some reporting functions are implemented by other department/units in MoF or in central bank (e.g. reporting to IMF, World Bank).

- A similar conclusion arose from the survey of 26 OECD countries(*) where only 3 had a dedicated IR unit.

- IIF found 14/39 EM countries had specialized units (2013).

Many DMOs use the same means of communication, both for data dissemination and for more active dialogue with stakeholders.

- **Website**
  - Macroeconomic Reports
  - Debt management strategy
  - Annual borrowing plan
  - Annual debt management report
  - Investor presentations

- **DMOs**
  - Training for media
  - Semi-annual report for journalists (& releases)
  - Conference calls
  - Mailbox (answers and queries)
  - Stakeholders mailing list
  - Reverse roadshows
  - Reports on transactions: specialized media
  - Speed-dating meetings
  - Auction calendar, Auction results
  - Requirements for primary dealers program, rights and obligations, etc.
  - Annual group meeting with biggest investors
  - Periodic 1-on-1 with investors
  - Non-deal + deal roadshows
  - Periodic meetings with primary dealers

**THE WORLD BANK**
Treasury
Most useful market-driven IR activities involve DMO meeting with stakeholders, exchanging ideas & obtaining feedback (OECD survey)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Type of market-driven investor relations activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1    | 19  | **Primary dealers cooperation, feedback & consultation:**  
|      |     | e.g. meetings with PD provides feedback for decisions; also formal consultations; help as market-maker and agent that assists in IR activities |
| 2    | 16  | **Road shows:**  
|      |     | e.g. one-on-one meetings with investors during roadshows; fuels the decision-making process; relatively costly |
| 3    | 13  | **Publication/distribution of information, including press releases:**  
|      |     | e.g. website; monthly bulletins on issuance; publication of PDM strategy and PDM Report, written articles to financial magazines; monthly updated investor presentation on website |
| 4    | 11  | **Meetings with investors/potential investors:**  
|      |     | e.g. meetings with investors at DMO offices; face-to-face meetings with CEO attending; annual meetings allows market participants to give input on following year’s financing program. |
| 5    | 5   | **Attendance at conferences/round tables:**  
|      |     | e.g. panels, conferences, speed-dating at investor/issuer conferences (broader set of investors, inexpensive, but less feedback). |
Measurement of impact of communications

- More than half of OECD countries surveyed lacked specific objectives or targets for their IR function.

- The most common criteria used by OECD countries to measure the value/benefits of IR activities are qualitative:
  - dealer commentary/feedback
  - customer feedback

- More quantitative criteria for measuring the impact had about half the mentions, including:
  - Media coverage/commentary
  - IR website traffic (number of hits)
  - Auction performance (coverage, tails)
  - Achievement of defined targets.

- Some DMOs obtained feedback on their IR function by sending out questionnaires, while others depended on feedback from investors and primary dealers.
Challenges identified

DMOs mostly mentioned difficulties in terms of the following interconnected factors:

- Obtaining sufficient budget (including for training in managing media, IR)
- Staff/time constraints
- Convincing senior staff and especially policy-makers of the importance of the communication function
- Measuring function’s impact (e.g. on the market, in quantitative terms, and even qualitative terms) although less so in terms of output vs. planned output.
Concluding remarks
Concluding remarks

- Leading DMOs have an active TIRM function, at a minimum with transparency through data dissemination, but also with more active stakeholder dialogue.

- The latter may be strengthened when required, depending on the context and debt management and/or funding strategy, and within budgetary/staffing constraints. Active dialogue and transparency are even more relevant in difficult circumstances.

- DMOs not always have a dedicated unit for this function, and even if they do, for comprehensive communication need coordination and collaboration throughout the DMO and with other public sector entities (e.g. central bank) for smooth information flows.
Concluding remarks (cont).

- Back office output from the debt database is the basis of most communication, certainly transparency and data dissemination, and should not be undervalued.

- Good communication should strengthen public sector accountability, lower the risk/uncertainty premium of investors, allow them to make better investment decisions, increase demand for government securities, allow the DMO to take investor preferences into account in their funding plans and strategies, and build sounder bases for credit ratings, among others.
Thank you.