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I. COUNTRY AND DISASTER CONTEXT

• Drought and floods are the main disaster risks, with drought being the most dominant:

  ✓ *High exposure*: >85% of Kenya’s land mass is arid/semi-arid.


  ✓ *Large numbers affected*: up to 25% of those in drylands (8 million people) require assistance during a severe drought.


• Comparatively modest shocks have larger impacts because of high vulnerability and trends such as population growth & land pressure.
II. KENYA’S HUNGER SAFETY NET PROGRAMME (HSNP)

• An unconditional cash transfer programme to reduce extreme hunger and vulnerability in arid lands.

• Monthly transfers are made through the banking system to >100,000 households in four arid counties with highest levels of poverty and vulnerability to drought.

• Approximately 58% of beneficiaries are women.

• A shock-responsive mechanism has been operating since January 2015.

• Expansion beyond the four counties is planned during the period of 20/21-20/23.
III. RISK FINANCING STRATEGY FOR DISASTER RESPONSE & DEVELOPMENT OF TRIGGERS & SCALABILITY

• How the scalability mechanism was designed:

✓ Carried out comprehensive (voluntary) registration of all households in the four counties in 2013-14.

✓ Opened bank accounts for all registered households – those eligible for routine transfers (Group 1) as well as those who may need emergency transfers (Group 2).

✓ Developed a range of financing scenarios to test viability, with technical support from the World Bank’s Disaster Risk Finance team.

✓ Agreed on the criteria for the trigger (objective, quantitative, auditable) and selected the Vegetation Condition Index (VCI), which is monitored monthly by the drought early warning system.

✓ Agreed on the value of the emergency transfer (same as routine transfer). 36 payment made since 2015 and total of Kshs 3,671,170,400 (USD 33,374,276)

✓ Commissioned a learning exercise soon after the first transfers to adapt the system.
IV. RISK FINANCING STRATEGY FOR DISASTER RESPONSE & DEVELOPMENT OF TRIGGERS & SCALABILITY (CONT.)

- How the scalability mechanism works:

  ✓ ‘Severe’ VCI triggers a budget allocation sufficient to bring the total beneficiaries in a sub-county to 50% of all households registered in the HSNP MIS.

  ✓ ‘Extreme’ VCI triggers an allocation sufficient for 75% of all households registered in the HSNP MIS.

  ✓ Group 1 households continue to receive their routine transfer and do not receive emergency transfer.

  ✓ Beneficiaries' accounts are deposited with the emergency transfers automatically.
V. RESULTS TO DATE

• Demonstrated that cash payments can be made on a large scale, in challenging environments, and within a few weeks of the trigger:
  ✓ Emergency transfers have been triggered 36 times since February 2015
  ✓ Nearly Kshs. 3.6 billion (> US$ 33 million) has been paid to households who would previously have been primarily reliant on food aid.

• Monitoring reports find that:
  ✓ Households prefer cash over in-kind support during a crisis, when markets are functioning, and that the transfers help meet basic needs (food, health care)
  ✓ There is no obvious impact on market prices

• The system is cost-efficient:
  ✓ Initial costs were high (registration, account opening) but each subsequent transfer incurs minimal expense.

• GoK is taking on more responsibility for financing: initial transfers were funded by development partners.
VI. LESSONS LEARNED AND WAY FORWARD

Success factors:

• **Drought early warning system (EWS) is well established.** The EWS has been operating since the late 1980s. Reliable time-series data for the past 15 years helped guide design decisions. VCI data is quickly available.

• **Payments infrastructure and grievance procedures were already in place for the routine HSNP transfers.** Scalability mechanisms that ‘piggy-back’ on existing systems improve the timeliness of response.

• **Comprehensive registration in 2013-14 allowed bank accounts to be opened and cards issued to households, in advance of payments being made** (‘no regrets’ measure).

• **Kenya has effective banking and IT systems,** which enables HSNP to make use of technology.

• **NDMA manages both the HSNP and the drought EWS,** strengthening the link between information and action, which is often a weakness in disaster response.

• **Wider investment in social protection systems** (single registry, coordination, etc).

• **Risk Layering Strategy is used, in order to take care of basis risk:** scalability can be funded using budget provisions, National Drought Emergency Fund, Insurance (Africa Risk Capacity and others) and World Bank Loan Facility (CATT-DDO).
VII. LESSONS LEARNED AND WAY FORWARD

• Challenges:

✓ **Building trust in the trigger mechanism**: VCI is technical.

✓ **Communication with beneficiaries and other stakeholders**: targeting is not the same as in conventional participatory assessments.

✓ **Cash is not always appropriate, and rarely sufficient**: need for complementary action (e.g. in basic services) and a mechanism to revisit periodically the transfer amount.

• Priorities to address:

✓ **Improving the predictability of finance**: range of possible mechanisms, including a new contingency fund.

✓ **Expanding the scalability mechanism**: both geographically, and to cover other disaster risks.
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