



THE WORLD BANK



Measuring Results and Impact Evaluation: From Promises into Evidence

Paul Gertler
University of California, Berkeley

Human Development
Network

Middle East and North Africa
Region

Spanish Impact Evaluation
Fund

How do we turn this teacher...



...into this teacher?



05.01.2006 12:00

Answer Three Questions:

1. Why is evaluation valuable?
2. What makes a good impact evaluation?
3. How to implement an impact evaluation?

Why Evaluate?

- Need evidence on what works
 - Limited budget & bad policies could hurt
- Improve program/policy implementation
 - Design: eligibility, benefits
 - Operations: efficiency & targeting
- Information key to sustainability
 - Budget negotiations
 - Informing beliefs and the press
 - Results agenda & Aid effectiveness

Allocate limited resources?

- Benefit-Cost analysis
 - allows comparison of choices
 - indicates highest return investment

- Benefit:
 - change in outcome indicators
 - measured through impact evaluation

- Cost:
 - additional cost of providing benefit
 - Economic versus Accounting costs

Impact Evaluation Answers

- ❑ What was the effect of the program on outcomes?
- ❑ How much better off are the beneficiaries because of the program/policy?
- ❑ How would outcomes change if changed program design?
- ❑ Is the program cost-effective?
- ❑ Traditional M&E cannot answer these

Impact Evaluation Answers...

- ❑ What is effect of scholarships on school attendance & performance (test scores)?
- ❑ Does contracting out primary health care lead to an increase in access?
- ❑ Does replacing dirt floors with cement reduce parasites & improve child health?
- ❑ Do improved roads increase access to labor markets & raise income

Types of Impact Evaluation

□ Efficacy:

- Proof of Concept
- Pilot under ideal conditions

□ Effectiveness:

- Normal circumstances & capabilities
- Impact will be lower
- Impact at higher scale will be different
- Costs will be different as there are economies of scale from fixed costs

Using impact evaluation to....

- ❑ Scale up pilot-interventions/programs
- ❑ Kill programs
- ❑ Adjust program benefits
- ❑ Inform (i.e. Finance & Press)
- ❑ e.g. PROGRESA in Mexico
 - Transition across presidential terms
 - Expansion to 5 million households
 - Change in benefits
 - Battle with the press
 - Educate the world (Brazil versus Mexico case)

Answer Three Questions:

1. Why is evaluation valuable?
2. What makes a good impact evaluation?
3. How to implement an impact evaluation?

How to assess impact

- e.g. How much does an education program improve test scores (learning)?
- What is beneficiary's test score with program compared to without program?
- Formally, program impact is:

$$\alpha = (Y \mid P=1) - (Y \mid P=0)$$

- Compare same individual with & without programs at same point in time

Solving the evaluation problem



- ❑ Counterfactual: what would have happened without the program
- ❑ Estimated impact is difference between treated observation and counterfactual
- ❑ Never observe same individual with and without program at same point in time
- ❑ Need to estimate counterfactual
- ❑ Counterfactual is key to impact evaluation

Counterfactual Criteria...

- Treated & counterfactual
 - have identical characteristics,
 - except for benefiting from the intervention
- No other reason for differences in outcomes of treated and counterfactual
- Only reason for the difference in outcomes is due to the intervention

2 “Counterfeit” Counterfactuals

1. Before and after:
 - Same individual before the treatment
 2. Those not enrolled
 - Those who choose not to enroll in program
 - Those who were not offered the program
- Problem:
- Cannot completely know why the treated are treated and the others not*

1. Before and After Examples

- Agricultural assistance program
 - Financial assistance to purchase inputs
 - Compare rice yields before and after
 - Before is normal rainfall, but after is drought
 - Find fall in rice yield
 - Did the program fail?
 - Could not separate (identify) effect of financial assistance program from effect of rainfall
- School scholarship program on enrollment

1. Before and After


- Compare Y before and after intervention

$$\alpha_i = (Y_{it} | P=1) - (Y_{i,t-1} | P=0)$$

- Estimate of counterfactual

$$(Y_{i,t-1} | P=0) = (Y_{i,t} | P=0)$$

- Does not control for time varying factors



2. Non-Participants....

- Compare non-participants to participants
- Counterfactual: non-participant outcomes

- Impact estimate:

$$\alpha_i = (Y_{it} | P=1) - (Y_{j,t} | P=0) ,$$

- Assumption:

$$(Y_{j,t} | P=0) = (Y_{i,t} | P=0)$$

- Problem: why did they not participate?

2. Non-participants Example 1

- Job training program offered
- Compare employment & earning of those who sign up to those who did not
- Who signs up?
 - Those who are most likely to benefit, i.e. those with more ability
 - Would have higher earnings than non-participants without job training
- Poor estimate of counterfactual

2. Non-participants Example 2

- Health insurance offered
- Compare health care utilization of those who got insurance to those who did not
 - Who buys insurance: those that expect large medical expenditures
 - Who does not: those who are healthy
- With no insurance: Those that did not buy have lower medical costs than that did
- Poor estimate of counterfactual

What's wrong?

- ❑ Selection bias: People choose to participate for specific reasons
- ❑ Many times reasons are related to the outcome of interest
 - Job Training: ability and earning
 - Health Insurance: health status and medical expenditures
- ❑ Cannot separately identify impact of the program from these other factors/reasons

Program placement example

- Gov't offers family planning program to villages with high fertility
- Compare fertility in villages offered program to fertility in other villages
- Program targeted based on fertility, so
 - Treatments have high fertility
 - Counterfactuals have low fertility
- Estimated program impact confounded with targeting criteria

Need to know...

- ❑ Know all reasons why someone gets the program and others not
- ❑ reasons why individuals are in the treatment versus control group
- ❑ If reasons correlated w/ outcome
 - cannot identify/separate program impact from
 - other explanations of differences in outcomes

Possible Solutions...

- ❑ Need to guarantee comparability of treatment and control groups
- ❑ ONLY remaining difference is intervention
- ❑ In this seminar we will consider
 - Experimental design/randomization
 - Quasi-experiments
 - ❑ Regression Discontinuity
 - ❑ Double differences
 - Instrumental Variables

These solutions all involve...

- Knowing how the data are generated
- Randomization
 - Give all equal chance of being in control or treatment groups
 - Guarantees that all factors/characteristics will be on average equal btw groups
 - Only difference is the intervention
- If not, need transparent & observable criteria for who is offered program

Road map: The next 5 days

- Today: The Context
 - Why do results matter?
 - Linking monitoring with evaluation
 - Importance of evidence for policy
- Today, Monday, Tuesday: The Tools
 - Cost-benefit and cost effectiveness
 - Identification strategies
 - Data collection
 - Operational issues
- Wednesday, Thursday: The Experience
 - Group work on evaluation design and presentations

THANK YOU