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Abstract

This document reports on the methods used in Phase 1 of The World Bank wind mapping project for Nepal. The interim mesoscale modelling results were calculated from the output of simulations using the Weather, Research and Forecasting (WRF) model. We document the method used to run the mesoscale simulations and to generalize the WRF model wind climatologies. In addition to the data for Nepal, maps for Bhutan are shown in an appendix.

1 Introduction

The conventional method used to produce estimates of wind resource over large areas or regions, such as on a national scale, is to analyze wind measurements made at a number of sites around the region, as in for example the European Wind Atlas (Troen and Petersen, 1989). In order for this method to work well, there needs to be a good spatial coverage of high-quality data. This criterion is sometimes difficult to satisfy and therefore other methods are required that typically give good indications of the geographical distribution of the wind resource, and as such will be very useful for decision making and planning of feasibility studies. Numerical wind atlas methodologies have been devised to solve the issue of insufficient wind measurements. The latest methodology developed at at DTU Wind Energy uses the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model in a dynamical downscaling mode to produce mesoscale analysis. It is this method that is employed in this study and described in this report. The method has recently been documented in Hahmann et al. (2014b) and verified against tall masts in the North and Baltic Sea.

This report is structured as follows: Sections 2 and 3 describe the general method and the specific modelling setup of the WRF modelling systems used in the generation of the Nepal phase 1 output. In Section 4 the results are presented, including some examples of local wind climates. Finally, Section 5 presents some conclusions.

2 Method

Numerical wind atlas methodologies have been devised to solve the issue of insufficient wind measurements. Two methodologies have been developed and used at DTU Wind Energy. The first methodology is the KAMM/WAsP method developed at Risø National Laboratory. It has been used extensively for a number of national projects. The origins of the method are described in Frank and Landberg (1997) and further details of the downscaling method developed are found in Badger et al. (2014). The KAMM/WAsP methodology has since been upgraded to use a newer and more sophisticated mesoscale model, namely the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model.

The wind atlas method used in this study was calculated by carrying out a large number of 10 days mesoscale model simulations using the WRF model to cover a multiyear period. The output from the WRF simulations is analysed in a number of ways. For example, investigation of the dynamic variation of wind speeds as a function of time of day and month of year. Specific meteorological phenomena in the model output relevant to wind energy can be investigated, and an understanding of the important meteorological phenomena is sought. To use the simulation data for wind resource assessment, the data must be post processed. This post
processing includes calculating statistics from a very large dataset and the generalization of the wind climatologies. Wind climate estimates derived from mesoscale modelling and measurements can be compared in a proper way by the use of the generalization of the wind climatologies. Without the generalization step no verification is possible, because the surface description within the model does not agree with reality, and therefore modelled winds will not agree with measured winds, except perhaps in extremely simple terrain or over water far from coasts.

The generalization method has been used extensively in a number of wind resource assessment studies, particularly within the KAMM/WasP method. The WRF wind atlas method with generalization and validation was first carried out within the Wind Atlas for South Africa project \cite{WASA2014} and described in \cite{Hahmann2014a}. For more details on the generalization method see Appendix A.

3 Modelling

The WRF Model \cite{Skamarock2008} is a mesoscale numerical weather prediction system designed to serve both operational forecasting and atmospheric research needs. The simulations used to generate the interim wind modelling results utilize the Advanced Research WRF (ARW-WRF) version 3.5.1 model released on 23 September 2013. The WRF modelling system is in the public domain and is freely available for community use. It is designed to be a flexible, state-of-the-art atmospheric simulation system that is portable and efficient on available parallel computing platforms. The WRF model is used worldwide for a variety of applications, from real-time weather forecasting, regional climate modelling, to simulating small-scale thunderstorms.

Although designed primarily for weather forecasting applications, ease of use and quality has brought the WRF model to be the model of choice for downscaling in wind energy applications. This model was used in wind-related studies concerning: wind shear in the North Sea \cite{Pena2012} and over Denmark \cite{Draxl2014}, organized convection in the North Sea \cite{Vincent2012}, low-level jets in the central USA \cite{Storm2009}, wind climate over complex terrain \cite{Horvath2012}, gravity waves \cite{Larsen2012}, extreme winds \cite{Larsen2013}, among many others.

3.1 Model setup

The simulations for the interim wind modelling were calculated on a grid with horizontal spacing of 45 km $\times$ 45 km (outer domain, D1, with 116 $\times$ 94 grid points), 15 km $\times$ 15 km (first nested domain, D2, with 181 $\times$ 121 grid points) and 3 km $\times$ 3 km (second nest, D3, with 476 $\times$ 206 grid points). Maps of the model domains are displayed in Fig. 1. The surface roughness length for innermost domain, D3, is given in Fig. 2.

In the vertical the model was configured with 50 levels with model top at 20 hPa. This is a special model configuration adapted to the occurrence of more deep convective activity in this region. The lowest 10 of these levels are within 1000 m of the surface and the first level is located at approximately 11 m AGL. Table 1 lists the details of the model configuration, including the model parametrizations used in the simulations. The actual namelist used in the simulations is presented in Appendix B.
Figure 1 – WRF model domains configuration and terrain elevation (m). Top left: 45 km × 45 km domain (D1), top right: 15 km × 15 km (D2) and bottom: 3 km × 3 km (D3). The inner lines show the position of D2 and D3 in D1 and D2, respectively. The colour scale indicates the terrain height.
Figure 2 – WRF model domain D3 surface roughness length. The horizontal grid spacing is 3 km $\times$ 3 km. The colour bar to the bottom right indicates the values of surface roughness length.
### Model setup:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model setup:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WRF (ARW) Version 3.5.1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother domain (D1; 116 × 94 grid points) with 45 km grid spacing; 2 nested domains: D2 (181 × 121 grid points) using 15 km and D3 (476× 206 grid points) with 3 km horizontal grid spacing on a Mercator projection (see Fig. 1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 vertical levels with model top at 20 hPa; 10 of these levels are placed within 1000 m of the surface; The first 7 levels are located approximately at: 11, 33, 55, 77, 100, 120 and 142 m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Simulation setup:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation setup:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial, boundary conditions, and fields for grid nudging come from the The ERA Interim (ERA-I) at 0.7° × 0.7° resolution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Runs are started (cold start) at 00:00 UTC every 10 days and are integrated for 11 days, the first 24 hours of each simulation are disregarded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface temperature (SST) from Optimum Interpolation Sea Surface Temperature (OISST) at 0.25° × 0.25° resolution (Reynolds et al., 2010) and are updated daily.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model output: hourly (lowest 18 vertical levels) for D3. Time step in most simulations: approx. 135 seconds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-way nested domains; 5 grid point nudging zone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid nudging on D1 only and above level 10; nudging coefficient 0.0003 s⁻¹ for wind, temperature and specific humidity. No nudging in the PBL for temperature and specific humidity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Physical parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical parameters:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation: WRF Single-Moment 6-class scheme (option 6), Tiedtke scheme cumulus parameterization (option 6) turned off on D3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation: RRTMG scheme for longwave (option 4) and shortwave (option 4) radiation, which includes the MCICA method of random cloud overlap.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBL and land surface: Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (Eta) TKE scheme (Janjic, 1994) (option 2), Eta Similarity Scheme (option 2) surface-layer scheme, and Noah Land Surface Model (option 2).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The surface roughness is kept constant at their winter value.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion: Simple diffusion (option 1); 2D deformation (option 4); 6th order positive definite numerical diffusion (option 2); rates of 0.06, 0.08, and 0.1 for D1, D2, and D3, respectively; vertical damping.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive definite advection of moisture and scalars.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Most choices in the model setup are fairly standard and used by other modelling groups. The only special setting for wind energy applications is the use of a constant surface roughness length, thus disabling the annual cycle available in the WRF model. This choice is consistent with the generalization procedure discussed in section 2 and Appendix A. A few other parameterization settings are updated for equatorial conditions compared to other wind atlas simulations: more vertical levels and raised model top, more sophisticated microphysics and convective scheme and updated radiation parameterizations.

The final simulation covered the 10-year period 2004/08/4–2013/08/14, and was run in a series of 11-day long overlapping simulations, with the output from the first day of each simulation being discarded, see Fig. 3. This method is based on the assumptions described in Hahmann et al. (2010) and Hahmann et al. (2014b). The simulation used grid nudging that continuously relaxes the model solution towards the gridded reanalysis but this was done only on the outer domain and above the boundary layer (level 10 from the surface) to allow for the mesoscale processes near the surface to develop freely. Because the simulations were re-initialized every 10 days, the runs are independent of each other and can be integrated in parallel reducing the total time needed to complete a multi-year climatology. The grid nudging and 10-days reinitialization keeps the model solution from drifting from the observed large-scale atmospheric patterns, while the relatively long simulations guarantee that the mesoscale flow is fully in equilibrium with the mesoscale characteristic of the terrain.

3.2 Data processing

Wind speeds and directions are derived from the WRF model output, which represents 10-minutes or hourly instantaneous values. For evaluating the model wind speed climatology, the zonal and meridional wind components on their original staggered Arakawa-C grid were interpolated to the coordinates of the mass grid. The interpolated wind components were then used to compute the wind speed. For a given height, e.g., 100 m, wind speeds are interpolated...
between neighboring model levels using logarithmic interpolation in height. It was found that
this interpolation procedure preserves more of the original features in the model wind profile
compared to other schemes (e.g., linear or polynomial interpolation of the wind components).
The various data processing steps are shown in Fig. 4.

![Data Processing Diagram](image)

**Figure 4** – Schematic representation of the data processing used to create the wind climate files
that compose the WRF-based NWA.

For each model grid point inside Nepal in domain D3 time-series for the entire period for
the wind speed, wind direction at 5 heights, and \( \frac{1}{L} \) were generated. The generation of the
time-series is a rather time consuming process because the WRF output files are stored for
every three hours for the whole domain. The generation of time-series requires that for every
grid-point in the considered region all files for the whole period have to be accessed.

# 4 Results

In this section the results in the form of the annual mean wind climate are presented based
on the 10 years of simulation, covering the period 2004/08/4 to 2013/08/14 inclusive. First
the simulated winds are presented. These represent the annual mean wind speed and power
density at 100 m a.g.l. directly from the modelling, see Figs. 5 and 6. Therefore, the winds
in these maps reflect the orography and surface roughness length as they are represented in
the model rather than the real orography and roughness length. Please note for the power
density calculation the air density is provided by the mesoscale model simulation.

Figs. 7 and 8 show the generalized winds. These represent the annual mean wind speed
and power density at 100 m a.g.l. for standardized condition of flat terrain with surface
roughness length of 10 cm everywhere. The winds in these maps reflect the variation of the
winds due to all influences other than the microscale orography and surface roughness change.
Whereas, the generalized power density, which uses a constant air density is the variation of
power density due to variation of the wind speed distribution alone. An example of generalized
wind climate file data is given in Fig. 9. Figure 10 shows the location of the generalized wind
climate files. One generalized wind climate file is created for every WRF model grid point
inside Nepal. These files can be used in the WAsP software to calculate the predicted wind
climate accounting for highly detailed microscale orography and surface roughness change
effects for a particular site of interest.

Next the generalized winds are presented. These represent the annual mean wind speed and
power density at 100 m a.g.l. for standardized condition of flat terrain with surface roughness
length of 10 cm everywhere, as shown in Figs. 7 and 8. Now the winds in these maps reflect
Figure 5 – Mean annual simulated wind speed for Nepal at 100 m a.g.l. from WRF simulation at 3 km × 3 km grid spacing for the period 2004/08/4 to 2013/08/14 inclusive. The colour scale indicates the wind speed in m s\(^{-1}\).
Figure 6 – Mean annual simulated wind power density for Nepal at 100 m a.g.l. from WRF simulation at 3 km × 3 km grid spacing for the period 2004/08/4 to 2013/08/14 inclusive. The colour scale indicates the wind power density in W m$^{-2}$. Note: for the power density calculation the air density is from the mesoscale model simulation.
Figure 7 – Mean annual generalized wind speed for Nepal at 100 m a.g.l. from WRF simulation at 3 km × 3 km grid spacing for the period 2004/08/4 to 2013/08/14 inclusive. The standard conditions are flat terrain with uniform surface roughness length (10 cm). The colour scale indicates the wind speed in m s$^{-1}$. 
Figure 8 – Mean annual generalized wind power density for Nepal at 100 m a.g.l. from WRF simulation at 3 km × 3 km grid spacing for the period 2004/08/4 to 2013/08/14 inclusive. The standard conditions are flat terrain with uniform surface roughness length (10 cm). The colour scale indicates the wind power density in W m$^{-2}$. Note: for the power density calculation only the air density is constant at 1.25 kg/m$^3$. 
Figure 9 – Example of the data contained within a generalized wind climate file data. This data can be used in the WAsP software to make predictions of the wind resources at a specific site of interest accounting for the microscale effects due to orography and surface roughness changes. The location is in eastern Nepal.
Figure 10 – Top: The location of the generalized wind climate data for the whole of Nepal shown in Google Earth. Bottom: A detail of generalized wind climate data coverage including how a user of the data can find out about the data filename using Google Earth.
the variation of the winds due to all influences other than the microscale orography and surface roughness change. Please note for the power density calculation only the air density is constant at 1.25 kg/m$^3$, so that variation of power density is due to variation of the wind speed distribution alone. The figures show only a small part of the information contained in the generalized wind climate. An example of generalized wind climate file data is given in Fig. 9. Figure 10 shows the location of the generalized wind climate files that are created for every WRF model grid point inside Nepal. These files can be used in the WAsP software to calculate the predicted wind climate accounting for highly detailed microscale orography and surface roughness change effects for a particular site of interest.

Results for Bhutan are given in Appendix C. The 100 m above surface simulated and generalized mean wind speed and wind power density are given. The maps presented for Bhutan in Figs. 12, 13, 14, 15 correspond to the Figs. 5, 6, 7, 8 shown in this section for Nepal.
5 Conclusions

This report has described the Phase 1 mesoscale wind modelling for Nepal. The simulation methodology, the configuration of the WRF model and the generalization method have been reported. The results of the wind modelling are presented in the form of simulated and generalized wind maps, and in the form of generalized wind climate data files. In addition to the result for Nepal, the results for Bhutan are given as this was also covered, intentionally, by the calculation domain.

The measurement data is essential to the validation work required in Phase 3. Suggestions for regions for the measurement masts are given in the report titled Candidate Site Identification Report. Through the measurements programme a better understanding of the wind energy relevant meteorology of the country will be gained, an improved configuration of the modelling system will be developed and tested, and an uncertainty estimate of the final wind atlas can be determined.
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A Detailed description of generalization

A.1 Basic generalization equations

The generalization of WRF model winds is an extension of the KAMM/WAsP generalization method described in [Badger et al., 2014]. In the first step, the time series of wind speed and direction are corrected for orography and roughness change, which are a function of wind direction and height. Given a time series of wind speed, \( u(z,t) \), and wind direction, \( \phi(z,t) \), which are functions of height and time, intermediate values, \( \hat{u} \) and \( \hat{\phi} \), are given by

\[
\hat{u} = \frac{u}{(1 + \delta A_o)(1 + \delta A_r)} \quad (1)
\]
\[
\hat{\phi} = \phi - \delta \phi_o \quad (2)
\]

where \( \delta A_o \), \( \delta \phi_o \) and \( \delta A_r \) are generalization factors for orography in wind speed and direction and roughness change, respectively. From the time series of corrected wind speed and direction "wind classes" are determined. The binning is based on wind direction sectors, wind speed and surface stability according to the Obukhov length as described in section A.2.

From the binning, mean values of wind speed, \( \bar{u} \), and wind direction, \( \bar{\phi} \) and typical Obukhov length \( \bar{L} \), together with the frequency of occurrence, \( F \), of each bin are determined. For simplicity, we will drop the over-bar from the equations that follow, but it is understood that they are applied to the mean values of each bin and not the individual time series values.

From the corrected wind speed value we obtain an intermediary friction velocity, \( \hat{u}_* \)

\[
\hat{u}_* = \frac{\kappa \hat{u}}{\ln[(z/\hat{z}_0) + \psi(z/\bar{L})]} \quad (3)
\]

where \( \hat{z}_0 \) is the downstream surface roughness length and \( \psi \) is a stability correction function that adjust the logarithmic wind profile due to non-neutral stability conditions and \( \kappa \) is the von Kármán constant. The stability correction uses the relationship:

\[
\psi(z/L) = \begin{cases} 
-31.58[1 - \exp(-0.19z/L)] & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\
2\log[0.5(1 + x)] + \log[0.5(1 + x^2)] - 2\tan^{-1}(x) + 1.5746 & \text{if } x < 0
\end{cases} \quad (4)
\]

where \( x = (1 - 19z/L) \). We use this function with a typical value of the Obukhov length from each wind class bin (see table 2). This procedure avoids using the similarity theory on wind profiles that lie outside the bounds of validity of the theory and that sometimes occur in the WRF simulations.

In the next step, we use the geostrophic drag law, which is used for neutral conditions to determine nominal geostrophic wind speeds, \( \hat{G} \), and wind directions, \( \hat{\alpha}_G \), are calculated, using the intermediate friction velocity and wind direction:

\[
\hat{G} = \frac{\hat{u}_*}{\kappa} \sqrt{\left( \frac{\ln \hat{u}_*}{\hat{z}_0} - A \right)^2 + B^2}, \quad (5)
\]
\[
\hat{\alpha}_G = -\sin^{-1}\left( \frac{B \hat{u}_*}{\kappa \hat{G}} \right), \quad (6)
\]
where $A = 1.8$ and $B = 5.4$ are two empirical parameters and $f$ is the Coriolis parameter, and $\hat{\phi}_G$ is the angle between the near-surface winds and the geostrophic wind. Near the equator, where $f$ can become too large or undefined, it is reset to its value at a latitude of $10^\circ$.

To obtain a new generalized friction velocity, $\hat{u}_*G$, for a standard roughness length $z_{0, std}$, Equation (5) is reversed by an iterative method,

$$\hat{G} = \frac{\hat{u}_*G}{\kappa} \sqrt{\left( \frac{\ln \hat{u}_*G}{f z_{0, std}} - A \right)^2 + B^2},$$  \hfill (7)

Finally, the generalized wind speed, $u_G$, is obtained by using the logarithmic wind profile law

$$u_G = \frac{\hat{u}_*G}{\kappa} \ln \left( \frac{z}{z_{0, std}} \right).$$  \hfill (8)

### A.2 Sectorization

Table 2 – Stability ranges and typical values used in the generalization procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stability class</th>
<th>Obukhov length range (m)</th>
<th>Typical Obukhov value $\hat{L}$ (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very unstable</td>
<td>-50 &lt; $L$ &lt; -100</td>
<td>-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>-100 &lt; $L$ &lt; -200</td>
<td>-150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near unstable</td>
<td>-200 &lt; $L$ &lt; -500</td>
<td>-350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>L &lt; -500; L &gt; 500</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near stable</td>
<td>200 &lt; $L$ &lt; 500</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stable</td>
<td>50 &lt; $L$ &lt; 200</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very stable</td>
<td>10 &lt; $L$ &lt; 50</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To apply the generalization procedure to the WRF-model output, winds from the mesoscale model simulations are binned according to wind speed (usually in $2.5$ m s$^{-1}$ bins), wind direction (usually 48 sectors of $7.5^\circ$ width) and seven stability class based on the Obukhov length that is also an output from the WRF simulation. The ranges for the stability classes are listed in Table 2 together with the “typical” length used in the generalization.

The procedure is carried out for each model grid point independently. In practice, time series of wind speed and direction at the desired vertical levels and $1/L$ are extracted from the model output files. The generalization procedure is then carried out on each time series file.

### A.3 Weibull distribution fit

The frequency distribution of the horizontal wind speed can often be reasonably well described by the Weibull distribution function (Tuller and Brett, 1984):

$$F(u) = \frac{k_w}{A_w} \left( \frac{u}{A_w} \right)^{k_w-1} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{u}{A_w} \right)^k \right],$$  \hfill (9)
where $F(u)$ is the frequency of occurrence of the wind speed $u$. In the Weibull distribution the scale parameter $A_w$ has wind speed units and is proportional to the average wind speed calculated from the entire distribution. The shape parameter $k(\geq 1)$ describes the skewness of the distribution function. For typical wind speed distributions, the $k_w$-parameter has values in the range of 2 to 3.

From the values of $A_w$ and $k_w$, the mean wind speed $\bar{U}$ ($\text{m s}^{-1}$) and mean power density $\bar{E}$ ($\text{W m}^{-2}$) in the wind can be calculated from:

\[
\bar{U} = A_w \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{1}{k_w}\right) \tag{10}
\]

\[
\bar{E} = \frac{1}{2} \rho A_w^3 \cdot \Gamma \left(1 + \frac{3}{k_w}\right) \tag{11}
\]

where $\rho$ is the mean density of the air and $\Gamma$ is the gamma function. We use the moment fitting method as used in the Wind Atlas Analysis and Application Program (WAsP) for estimating the Weibull parameters. The method is described in detail in Troen and Petersen (1989). Basically this method estimates $A_w$ and $k_w$ to fit the power density in the time series instead of the mean wind speed.

The Weibull fit is done for the ensemble of wind speeds in each wind direction bin (usually 12 direction sectors) for each standard height (usually 5 heights: 10, 25, 50, 100 and 200 m) and standard roughness lengths (usually 5 roughness: 0.0002 (water), 0.03, 0.1, 0.4, 1.5 m). The 25 Weibull fits for each wind direction sector use the method described above.

This sector-wise transformation of Weibull wind statistics—i.e. transforming the Weibull $A_w$ and $k_w$ parameters to a number of reference heights over flat land having given reference roughnesses—uses not only the geostrophic drag law, but also a perturbation of the drag law, with the latter part including a climatological stability treatment. The transformation and stability calculation is consistent with that implemented in WAsP and outlined in Troen and Petersen (1989), with further details given in Kelly and Troen (2014). The transformation is accomplished via perturbation of both the mean wind and expected long-term variance of wind speed, such that both Weibull-$A_w$ and $k_w$ are affected. When purely neutral conditions (zero stability effects) are presumed for the wind statistics to be transformed, there is still a perturbation introduced, associated with the generalized (reference) conditions in the wind atlas. This perturbation uses the default stability parameter values found in WAsP; it is negated upon subsequent application of the generalized wind from a given reference height and roughness to a site with identical height and surface roughness, using WAsP with its default settings. The climatological stability treatment in the generalization depends on the unperturbed Weibull parameters and effective surface roughness (Troen and Petersen 1989), as well as the mesoscale output heights and wind atlas reference heights (though the latter disappears upon application of wind atlas data via WAsP).

Figure 11 shows the structure of the resulting WAsP "lib" file. It is structured as Weibull $A_w$’s and $k_w$’s for each sector, height and standard roughness length. The first row contains information about the geographical location of the wind climate represented in the lib-file. The second row lists the number of roughness classes (5), heights (3), and sectors (12), respectively. In the third and fourth row, the actual roughness (m) and heights (m) are listed. Below these header lines, a succession of frequencies of wind direction (1 line), values of Weibull-$A_w$ (1 line) and Weibull-$k_w$ (1 line) for each roughness class and height are printed.
Figure 11 – Contents of WAsP generalized wind climate file. This climate is for a location close to for each sector (12 sectors per line). This type of file can be used and displayed (Figure 9) in WAsP.
B  WRF namelist

&time_control
    auxinput4_interval = 360, 360, 360,
    input_from_file = '', '', '', '',
    end_minute = 0, 0, 0,
    history_interval = 1440, 720, 60,
    start_day = '', '', '', '',
    debug_level = 0,
    start_second = 0, 0, 0,
    io_form_auxinput4 = 2,
    start_month = '', '', '', '',
    io_form_input = 2,
    end_year = '', '', '', '',
    end_hour = 0, 0, 0,
    end_day = '', '', '', '',
    start_year = '', '', '', '',
    start_hour = 0, 0, 0,
    ignore_iofields_warning = '',
    start_minute = 0, 0, 0,
    interval_seconds = 21600,
    restart_interval = 100000,
    frames_per_outfile = 1, 1, 3,
    iofields_filename = 'WAfields.txt', 'WAfields.txt', 'WAfields.txt',
    io_form_restart = 2,
    restart = '',
    end_second = 0, 0, 0,
    auxinput4_inname = 'wrflowinp_d<domain>',
    io_form_boundary = 2,
    end_month = '', '', '', '',
    io_form_history = 2,
    io_form_auxinput2 = 2,
/
&domains
    parent_time_step_ratio = 1, 3, 5,
    num_metgrid_levels = 33,
    time_step_fract_den = 1,
    e_vert = 41, 41, 41
    grid_id = 1, 2, 3,
    i_parent_start = 1, 29, 43,
    j_parent_start = 1, 25, 41,
    s_sn = 1, 1, 1,
    s_we = 1, 1, 1,
    e_we = 116, 181, 476,
    e_sn = 94, 121, 206,
smooth_option = 2,
time_step = '',
feedback = 0,
time_step_fract_num = 0,
parent_id = 1,1,2,
parent_grid_ratio = 1,3,5,
num_metgrid_soil_levels = 4,
dx = 45000.0,15000.0,3000.0
dy = 45000.0,15000.0,3000.0
p_top_requested = 5000,
max_dom = 4,
eta_levels = '',
/
&physics
   mp_physics = 4, 4, 4
   ra_lw_physics = 1, 1, 1
   ra_sw_physics = 1, 1, 1
   radt = 10, 10, 10
   sf_sfclay_physics = 2, 2, 2
   sf_surface_physics = 2, 2, 2
   bl_pbl_physics = 2, 2, 2
   bldt = 0, 0, 0
   cu_physics = 1, 1, 0
   cudt = 5, 5, 5
   fractional_seaice = 1,
   seaice_threshold = 0.,
   isfflx = 1,
   ifsnow = 0,
   icloud = 1,
   surface_input_source = 1,
   num_land_cat = 21,
   num_soil_layers = 4,
   sst_update = 1,
maxiens = 1,
maxens = 3,
maxens2 = 3,
maxens3 = 16,
ensdim = 144,
/
&fdda
   grid_fdda = 1, 0, 0
   gfdda_inname = "wrffdda_d<domain>",
gfdda_end_h = 300, 0, 0
gfdda_interval_m = 360, 0, 0
fgdt = 0, 0, 0
if_no_pbl_nudging_uv = 0, 0, 0
if_no_pbl_nudging_t       = 1, 0, 0
if_no_pbl_nudging_q       = 1, 0, 0
if_zfac_uv                = 1, 0, 0
  k_zfac_uv               = 10, 0, 0
if_zfac_t                = 1, 0, 0
  k_zfac_t               = 10, 0, 0
if_zfac_q                = 1, 0, 0
  k_zfac_q               = 10, 0, 0
guv                      = 0.0003, 0.000075, 0.000075,
gt                        = 0.0003, 0.000075, 0.000075,
gq                        = 0.0003, 0.000075, 0.000075,
if_ramping               = 0,
dtramp_min               = 60.0,
io_form_gfdda            = 2,
/
&dynamics
  h_sca_adv_order         = 5,5,5,
  diff_6th_factor         = 0.12,0.12,0.12,
  zdamp                   = 5000.0,5000.0,5000.0,
  rk_ord                  = 3,
  damp_opt                = 0,
  non_hydrostatic         = '','',''
  km_opt                  = 4,
  moist_adv_opt           = 1,1,1,
  v_mom_adv_order         = 3,3,3,
  w_damping               = 1,
  diff_opt                = 0,
  h_mom_adv_order         = 5,5,5,
  time_step_sound         = 6,6,6,
  scalar_adv_opt          = 1,1,1,
  v_sca_adv_order         = 3,3,3,
  khdif                   = 0,0,0,
  diff_6th_opt            = 2,2,2,
  kvdif                   = 0,0,0,
  dampcoef                = 0.01,0.01,0.01,
/
&bdy_control
  nested                  = '','',''
  relax_zone              = 4,
  specified               = '','',''
  spec_bdy_width          = 5,
  spec_zone               = 1,
/
&grib2
/
&namelist_quilt
  nio_tasks_per_group = 0,
  nio_groups = 1,
/

C Results for Bhutan

Additionally to Nepal, the most inner model domain with a 3 km horizontal grid-spacing covered the entire country Bhutan (Fig. 1). In Figs. 12 and 13 the annual mean wind speed and power density at 100 m a.g.l. is plotted for Bhutan. The annual mean generalized wind speed and power density at 100 m a.g.l. for standardized condition of flat terrain with surface roughness length of 10 cm everywhere are shown in Figs. 14 and 15. The figures result from the same simulations as described in Sect. 3.

Figure 12 – Mean annual simulated wind speed for Bhutan at 100 m a.g.l. from WRF simulation at 3 km × 3 km grid spacing for the period 2004/08/4 to 2013/08/14 inclusive. The colour scale indicates the wind speed in m s⁻¹.
Figure 13 – Mean annual simulated wind power density for Bhutan at 100 m a.g.l. from WRF simulation at 3 km × 3 km grid spacing for the period 2004/08/4 to 2013/08/14 inclusive. The colour scale indicates the wind power density in W m\(^{-2}\). Note: for the power density calculation the air density is from the mesoscale model simulation.
Figure 14 – Mean annual generalized wind speed for Bhutan at 100 m a.g.l. from WRF simulation at 3 km × 3 km grid spacing for the period 2004/08/4 to 2013/08/14 inclusive. The standard conditions are flat terrain with uniform surface roughness length (10 cm). The colour scale indicates the wind speed in m s⁻¹.
Figure 15 – Mean annual generalized wind power density for Bhutan at 100 m a.g.l. from WRF simulation at 3 km × 3 km grid spacing for the period 2004/08/4 to 2013/08/14 inclusive. The standard conditions are flat terrain with uniform surface roughness length (10 cm). The colour scale indicates the wind power density in W m$^{-2}$. Note: for the power density calculation only the air density is constant at 1.25 kg/m$^3$. 