2 YEAR SPRING MEETING TREND

- Received Applications: +28%
- Approved & Registered: +48%
- Arrived & Picked Badges: +44%
- Countries Represented: +11%
- Number of Sessions: +16%

2016 Spring Meetings CSPF
2017 Spring Meetings CSPF
POST CSPF SURVEY RESULTS

n=136 (16%)

Q1: What type of organization do you represent?

- NGOs: 95 (70.1%)
- Think Tanks: 11 (8.1%)
- Youth Groups: 7 (5.1%)
- CBOs: 3 (2.2%)
- Trade/Labor Unions: 2 (1.5%)
- FBOs: 2 (1.5%)
- Foundations: 2 (1.5%)

Q2: What region are you from?

- North America: 54 (40.6%)
- Africa: 25 (18.0%)
- LAC: 13 (9.8%)
- Western Europe: 12 (9.0%)
- SAR: 7 (5.3%)
- ECA: 6 (4.5%)
- MENA: 4 (3.0%)
- EAP: 4 (3.0%)
Q3: Top three reasons for attending the CSPF

- To network and share information with other CSOs: 53%
- To learn about the WBC/IMF and attend CSPF sessions: 41%
- To dialogue with WBC/IMF staff: 39%

n = 132

Q4: Satisfaction with the new registration

- Very satisfied: 62%
- Somewhat satisfied: 28%
- Somewhat unsatisfied: 3%
- Very unsatisfied: 2%

n = 132

Q5: Most attended days

- Monday, April 17: 23%
- Tuesday, April 18: 57%
- Wednesday, April 19: 57%
- Thursday, April 20: 56%
- Friday, April 21: 55%
- All five days: 24%

n = 130
Q6: How satisfied were you with the following aspects of the CSPF?  n = 130

**Relevance of session topics**
- Very satisfied: 45%
- Somewhat satisfied: 46%
- Somewhat unsatisfied: 6%
- Very unsatisfied: 2%

**Quality of speakers or panelists**
- Very satisfied: 50%
- Somewhat satisfied: 41%
- Somewhat unsatisfied: 7%
- Very unsatisfied: 1%

**Length of sessions**
- Very satisfied: 43%
- Somewhat satisfied: 46%
- Somewhat unsatisfied: 6%
- Very unsatisfied: 4%

**WBG/IMF staff participation**
- Very satisfied: 43%
- Somewhat satisfied: 32%
- Somewhat unsatisfied: 14%
- Very unsatisfied: 7%
Q7: To what extent were the following sessions useful to you?  

**WBG orientation session**
- To a very high degree: 29%
- To a somewhat high degree: 25%
- To a somewhat low degree: 6%
- To a very low degree: 2%
- Did not attend: 35%

**CSO-led sessions**
- To a very high degree: 33%
- To a somewhat high degree: 41%
- To a somewhat low degree: 16%
- To a very low degree: 2%
- Did not attend: 9%

**WBG/IMF-led sessions**
- To a very high degree: 43%
- To a somewhat high degree: 40%
- To a somewhat low degree: 12%
- To a very low degree: 1%
- Did not attend: 4%

**Future of the CSPF session**
- To a very high degree: 32%
- To a somewhat high degree: 27%
- To a somewhat low degree: 10%
- To a very low degree: 2%
- Did not attend: 28%
Q8: How satisfied were you with the following aspects of the CSO Roundtable with WBG Executive Directors?  n = 127

- **Choice of topics**
  - Very satisfied: 29%
  - Somewhat satisfied: 37%
  - Somewhat unsatisfied: 7%
  - Very unsatisfied: 4%
  - Don't know: 22%

- **Number of topics**
  - Very satisfied: 23%
  - Somewhat satisfied: 43%
  - Somewhat unsatisfied: 8%
  - Very unsatisfied: 3%
  - Don't know: 22%

- **Format and structure**
  - Very satisfied: 30%
  - Somewhat satisfied: 33%
  - Somewhat unsatisfied: 13%
  - Very unsatisfied: 4%
  - Don't know: 20%

- **CSO Moderator**
  - Very satisfied: 30%
  - Somewhat satisfied: 37%
  - Somewhat unsatisfied: 9%
  - Very unsatisfied: 3%
  - Don't know: 21%
Q9: Please share any ideas to improve CSO Roundtable with the WBG Executive Directors.  n = 49

**TIME**

*Sessions should be longer with more time for Q & A. More opportunities for discussion in plenary on issues of relevance.*

*Allow additional time for the event. Many critical concerns and issues were not addressed.*

*give more time to questions and comments in the plenary, pre-planned or arranged issues may not respond to everybody's need.*

*It needs to be better prepared, longer in advance, taking into account the various voices, but not dealing with all the topics.*

**SPACE**

*Provide enough space for people to attend the forum.*

*Make use of bigger rooms to accommodate participants. The overflow removes the essence of round-table experience*

*I suggest the future Roundtables with the WBG Executives Directors be held in a bit larger hall than the hall of just concluded Roundtables meeting.*

**REGIONAL & THEMATIC MEETINGS**

*Initial closed meetings with country representatives would be useful, prior to larger meeting.*

*Roundtable with each directors of regions.*

*The ED roundtable should be supplemented with a more informal session during which each ED meets with CSOs from his/her constituency over tea/coffee.*

*It could be better to have themed meetings (e.g. environment/climate, humanitarian/refugees, jobs/poverty).*

**NUMBER OF TOPICS**

*Keep the thematic areas to no more than 3 or 4 (there were too many areas of discussion).*

*... perhaps too many issues for the time allowed. We need more depth than breadth.*

*It must be informed better the time and the topics should be more varied.*

**FORMAT**

*...Civil society should set the agenda and format for this space, and should receive full commitment from EDs to participate.*

*...Directors should be divided in advance as to what subjects each one should answer, in that way they could provide more precise answers.*

*...and more incorporation of online attendees.*
Q10: Please share ideas to improve the Civil Society Policy Forum.  

**SPACE**

“Moving some or all of the civil society events into the main building would show greater commitment of the Bank and Fund to a meaningful space for dialogue.”

“The CSPF should have more sessions in the main WB building so that it does not feel as isolated from the Spring/Annual meetings.”

“I will like to suggest that all sessions take place in same building; the organizers should also avoid putting similar sessions of at the same time.”

**TIME**

“Prohibit organizers from exceeding 90 min and 4 panelists.”

“Include opportunity for the attendees to ask more questions for active dialogue - plan for additional 30 min for Q&A.”

“Maybe fewer presentations to allow for more time for Q & A. 2. More time before meetings to recover from jet lag for long distance attendees.”

“It needs to be better prepared, longer in advance, taking into account the various voices, but not dealing with all the topics.”

**FORMAT**

“Provide more success stories on practical achievements. Involve private sectors.”

“One issue that needs to be addressed is the geographic balance for the panels which occur.”

“Maybe fewer presentations to allow for more time for Q & A.”

**ACCESSIBILITY**

“Leaders of the most vulnerable people’s organizations should be encouraged, such as people with disabilities, indigenous peoples, women, children.”

“a special assistance team should be readily available to those participants with special needs.”

**ENGAGEMENT**

“I think more interaction and participation of CSOs needed to be made with the WB directors to make sure that CSOs get the benefit of being part of WB agenda.”

“Maintain and enhance the involvement/participation of WBG staffs and search for synergy.”

**NETWORKING**

“More networking opportunities should be organized.”

“Perhaps it would be helpful to networking to have an electronic list of organizations attending and include the organizational name on the badge.”

“... we need a list of those invited to the CSPF to enable us network beyond the policy forum.”